Case 1:07-cv-05268-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
RICHARD CRESPO AND PATRICIA CRESPO	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints fo	rstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, r all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, but the set of the se	d with an '☑" if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, RICHARD CRESPO AND PATRI GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	CIA CRESPO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY applaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

and a citize	en of New York residing at 44		e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an indiv ngers, NY 10920	
		(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Ca	ase 1:07-cv-05268-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing Injured Plain	g at 44 Old Haverstraw Rd., Congers, Notiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times of RICHARD CRESPO, and bring injuries sustained by her husball.	fter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New Y 10920-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff RICHARD CRESPO. Other:
4. Department (In the period from 9/17/2001 to 5/5/20 (NYPD) as a Detective at:	002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York Police
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (From on or a Approximate Approximate ✓ The New From on or a Approximate Approximate Approximate ✓ The Fresh From on or a Approximate ✓ The Fresh From on or a Approximate	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout until; by hours per day; for days total. York City Medical Examiner's Office bout _5/5/2002_ until _5/5/2002_, by _12_ hours per day; for cly _1_ days total. Kills Landfill bout _9/17/2001_ until 2/22/2002; cly _12_ hours per day; for cly _13_ days total.	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
*Continue t	"Other" locations, please annex a separ	are sheet of paper with the information.
5.	above; ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above; ✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ringested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC. ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LIND, INC. ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
======================================	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORT ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 3/14/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☑ET ENVIRONMENTAL ☑EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	LIC VAIND EIN VIRONIVIEN I AL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP ☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ROYAL GM INC. ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-05268-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers I; Contested, by val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-05268-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 8/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 8/15/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Groundama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	th	e injur	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
√	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
\checkmark	Other:			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York May 14, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Richard Crespo and Patricia Crespo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

May 14, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	RICHARD CRESPO (AND WIFE, PATRICIA CRESPO),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated, Attorney(s) for
	SE TAKE NOTICE:
	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
□ <u>NO</u>	that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP